Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
		1996 shall be maintained, and he should be allowed to discharge his power and function as Executive Officer in terms of the related provisions of the Act of 1996 until further orders of this Court. **(N. Surjamani Singh)** **Chief Justice (Acting)** **(A. P. Subba)** **Judge**	A copy of order forwarded to respdt. no. 2. 11/4/05
2.	At/ 12.05.2005	Heard Mr. N. K. P. Sarraf, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. J. B. Pradhan, learned Government Advocate assisted by Mr. Karma Thinlay, learned Assistant Government Advocate for the State – respondents 1, 2 and 3 and Mr. B. Sharma, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 to 8. Upon hearing the learned counsel for the parties and also on perusal of the available materials on record, we are of the view that this case has become infructuous thus maintaining the service conditions of the writ petitioner as Executive Officer by virtue of the parent Act, namely, the Sikkim Khadi and Village Industries Board Act, 1996 under our related order dated 31.3.2005 with the following reasons and order :- 1. The validity of the related ordinance issued by the authority on 7.2.2005 vide, Notification No.2/LD/2005 and under Clause 2 of Article 213 of the Constitution of India has already	

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
		lapsed, inasmuch as, the impugned ordinance ceased to operate on the expiration of six weeks' in terms of related clause of Article 213 of the Constitution of India. Another impugned order dated 4.9.2004, Annexure P1 is the result of the related ordinance issued on 7.2.2005, and that being the position, the impugned Office Order dated 4.9.2004 had already been merged with the expiration of the related ordinance of 7.2.2005 as in Annexure P3. 2. The writ petitioner got the main relief inasmuch as his service condition as Executive Officer is maintained. 3. We are of the view that at this juncture, the petitioner has no grievance with regard to his service condition. For the reasons and observations made above, the writ petition is hereby closed as infructuous. N.S. **(N. Surjamani Singh)** **Chief Justice (Acting)** **(A. P. Subba)** **Judge**	Corrected Vide order dated 17.5.2005 17.5.05
		At/	

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
3.	17.05.2005	Certain clerical and typographical mistake has been pointed out by Reader appearing in the order dated 12.05.2005 and accordingly, matter is taken up today for necessary order. In the order dated 12.05.2005, the impugned Office Order has been typed as dated 4.9.2005 instead of 4.9.2004. Accordingly, this typographical mistake is corrected, thus deleting the figure 4.9.2005 and replacing the same by the figure 4.9.2004. ***(N. Surjamani Singh)*** ***Chief Justice (Acting)*** ***(A. P. Subba)*** ***Judge***	